Fine Particulate Air Pollution (PM2.5) and Violent Behavior: A Review of Emerging Evidence

Robert C. Brandys, PhD, MPH, PE[1]*

[1] *Occupational and Environmental Health Consulting, Brandys Environmental Science and Technology Academy, Las Vegas, NV 89103, USA*

* Corresponding author: Bobb@safety-epa.com

Abstract

Fine particulate matter (PM2.5) has long been recognized as a major public health hazard due to its associations with cardiovascular disease, respiratory illness, cancer, and premature mortality. More recently, researchers have begun examining whether air pollution may also influence human behavior, including aggression, violence, and criminal activity. A growing body of evidence from the United States, South Korea, and other countries suggests that short-term increases in PM2.5 concentrations are associated with elevated rates of violent crime, assault, school violence, and aggressive behavior, with quantified effects generally on the order of approximately 1–3% per incremental short-term rise in PM2.5. This review summarizes the current literature linking PM2.5 exposure to violence-related outcomes and discusses potential biological mechanisms including neuroinflammation, oxidative stress, neurotransmitter disruption, and impaired executive function. Although causality remains an active area of investigation, the consistency of findings across multiple study designs suggests that air pollution may represent an underappreciated environmental contributor to societal violence.

Introduction

Air pollution is traditionally studied within the fields of environmental health and epidemiology. Regulatory efforts have focused primarily on reducing mortality and morbidity associated with respiratory and cardiovascular disease. However, increasing evidence suggests that air pollution may affect neurological and psychological processes as well.

Fine particulate matter (PM2.5), defined as airborne particles smaller than 2.5 micrometers in diameter, can penetrate deep into the lungs and enter the bloodstream. Numerous studies have demonstrated that PM2.5 exposure can induce systemic inflammation and oxidative stress. More recent research indicates that particulate pollution may also affect the central nervous system, potentially altering cognition, mood, and behavior.

One emerging research question is whether elevated PM2.5 concentrations contribute to increases in violent behavior and crime. This review examines the evidence supporting this hypothesis and evaluates proposed biological and social mechanisms.

Evidence Linking PM2.5 to Violent Crime

County-Level Studies in the United States

One of the most influential studies was conducted by Berman et al. [1], who examined daily crime records across 301 U.S. counties in 34 states from 2000 to 2013, spanning roughly 86 million people and more than 720,000 county-days. Using a two-stage hierarchical time-series approach, the authors estimated that each 10 μg/m³ increase in daily PM2.5 was associated with a 1.17% increase in the relative risk of violent crime, while a 10 ppb increase in ozone was associated with a 0.59% increase. The relationships remained significant after accounting for weather conditions and seasonal patterns, and were consistent across community types.

Similarly, Burkhardt et al. [2] analyzed pollution and crime data across the United States using daily measurements of particulate matter and ozone. Their results indicated that increases in particulate pollution were associated with increases in violent offenses, particularly assault-related crimes, whereas property crimes generally exhibited weaker or inconsistent relationships [2,3].

Nationwide Analysis Across the United States

Burkhardt et al. [4] expanded the analysis to roughly 99% of counties in the contiguous United States, combining monthly crime data with satellite-derived wildfire smoke as an exogenous source of PM2.5 exposure in order to isolate pollution effects from local socioeconomic conditions. They estimated that a 1 μg/m³ increase in monthly mean PM2.5 raised monthly crime rates—predominantly assaults—by approximately 0.53%, with effects that were relatively homogeneous across the country and somewhat larger in counties with older populations.

The use of wildfire smoke strengthened causal inference by providing a source of pollution variation largely unrelated to local crime trends, lending support to a direct pollution-related effect rather than mere correlation.

International Evidence

Evidence is not limited to the United States. Park et al. [5] conducted a nationwide time-stratified case-crossover study in South Korea (2015–2019), analyzing 2,867 population-representative violence cases against machine-learning–modeled daily PM2.5 concentrations. They found an approximately linear association between short-term PM2.5 exposure (lag 0–2 days) and violence, with an odds ratio of 1.07 (95% CI: 1.02–1.12) per 10 μg/m³ increase, and estimated that 14.53% of violence cases were attributable to PM2.5. Associations were more pronounced among males and individuals aged 64 years or younger. These findings support the hypothesis that the observed relationship may be broadly applicable across different cultural and geographic contexts.

School Violence

Rau et al. [6] investigated air pollution and violence within educational settings. Examining school disciplinary records against ambient pollution levels, the authors reported that the highest concentrations of carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, and fine particulate matter were associated with increased violent disciplinary incidents, including weapon-related actions.

The findings suggest that pollution-related behavioral effects may be observable even among younger populations.

Approximate Magnitude of Effects

Across the studies that quantified effect sizes, the reported increases in violent crime are modest in relative terms—generally on the order of approximately 1–3% per short-term incremental increase in PM2.5. Berman et al. estimated a 1.17% rise in the relative risk of violent crime per 10 μg/m³ of daily PM2.5 [1], a figure broadly consistent with the per-unit estimates reported in subsequent U.S. analyses [2,4]. The South Korean case-crossover study sits at or slightly above the upper end of this range, reporting a 7% increase in the odds of violence per 10 μg/m³ (odds ratio 1.07) [5]. Although each increment is small at the individual level, effects of this magnitude—applied across entire populations and across the many days each year on which PM2.5 is elevated—could translate into a substantial absolute number of additional violent incidents, which is the principal public-health concern.

Biological Mechanisms

Several plausible biological pathways may explain the observed association between PM2.5 exposure and aggressive behavior.

Neuroinflammation

Ultrafine and fine particulate matter can induce inflammatory responses throughout the body. Evidence suggests that inhaled particles may trigger inflammatory signaling pathways that ultimately affect the brain.

Chronic neuroinflammation has been associated with mood disorders, impaired judgment, and behavioral dysregulation.

Oxidative Stress

PM2.5 exposure increases oxidative stress by generating reactive oxygen species. Oxidative damage within neural tissue may impair normal neurotransmitter function and reduce cognitive performance.

Neurotransmitter Disruption

Experimental studies have reported changes in dopamine, serotonin, and other neurotransmitter systems following exposure to particulate pollution.

Because serotonin plays a significant role in impulse control and aggression regulation, disruptions to serotonergic signaling represent a plausible mechanism linking air pollution and violence.

Executive Function and Decision-Making

Several studies have reported declines in cognitive performance associated with elevated particulate matter concentrations. Reduced executive function may impair an individual's ability to regulate emotional responses, increasing susceptibility to impulsive or aggressive actions.

Alternative Explanations

Although the evidence is increasingly consistent, several alternative explanations warrant consideration.

First, pollution levels often correlate with urban density, traffic congestion, and socioeconomic conditions that may themselves influence crime rates. While many studies attempt to control statistically for these factors, residual confounding remains possible.

Second, behavioral changes may be mediated indirectly through psychological distress, discomfort, or reduced sleep quality rather than direct neurological effects.

Third, some researchers have proposed that air pollution may alter outdoor activity patterns, potentially affecting opportunities for criminal interactions; analyses distinguishing indoor from outdoor offenses suggest pollution may differentially shift where crime occurs [3].

Despite these concerns, studies employing quasi-experimental approaches, including wildfire-smoke analyses, generally support the existence of a direct pollution-related effect.

Lead Exposure and the Lead–Crime Hypothesis

Fine particulate matter is not the only combustion-derived pollutant linked to aggression and violence. Lead—emitted in large quantities from leaded gasoline, coal combustion, and metal smelting throughout much of the twentieth century—is a well-established developmental neurotoxin with no apparent safe threshold, producing measurable deficits in IQ, impulse control, and executive function even at low blood concentrations [7].

A substantial body of work, commonly termed the lead–crime hypothesis, links early-life lead exposure to later criminal and violent behavior. Nevin reported that variation in gasoline-lead exposure between 1941 and 1975 paralleled roughly 90% of the variation in U.S. violent crime

rates from 1964 to 1998, with a best-fit lag of about 23 years—consistent with neurological injury in infancy and the peak ages of violent offending [8]. This temporal relationship was subsequently replicated across multiple countries [9]. Reyes, exploiting the phase-out of leaded gasoline under the Clean Air Act, estimated the elasticity of violent crime with respect to childhood lead exposure at approximately 0.8 and attributed a substantial share of the 1990s decline in U.S. violent crime to lead removal [10].

These mechanisms—heightened impulsivity, aggression, and impaired executive function—overlap closely with those advanced for PM2.5 in the preceding sections, suggesting that lead and fine particulate matter may act through partially shared neurobehavioral pathways. This convergence carries two implications. First, the two exposures may compound population-level risk. Second, because leaded-gasoline use rose after World War II, peaked in the early 1970s, and was phased out between 1975 and 1995—broadly paralleling the mid-century rise and subsequent regulatory decline of combustion aerosols—lead is an important potential confounder in studies relating air pollution to violence. Analyses of PM2.5 and aggression should therefore account for co-occurring lead exposure, and the parallel rise and fall of these combustion-related pollutants situates both within the broader twentieth-century arc of industrial emissions and their later regulation.

Public Health Implications

The societal costs of violence are substantial, encompassing medical expenses, law enforcement expenditures, reduced quality of life, and long-term psychological trauma.

If PM2.5 contributes even modestly to aggressive behavior and violent crime, air quality improvements could generate benefits extending beyond traditional health outcomes. The reduction of particulate pollution may therefore yield co-benefits in public safety, educational outcomes, and community well-being.

This perspective broadens the rationale for air quality regulation and suggests that environmental policy may indirectly influence social stability.

Conclusions

A growing body of research suggests that PM2.5 exposure is associated with increased rates of violent behavior, assault, and aggression. Evidence from multiple countries, multiple study designs, and diverse populations has demonstrated consistent positive relationships between short-term particulate matter exposure and violence-related outcomes.

Although important questions regarding causality and mechanism remain unresolved, proposed biological pathways—including neuroinflammation, oxidative stress, neurotransmitter disruption, and impaired executive function—provide plausible explanations for the observed effects.

Future research should focus on longitudinal designs, mechanistic studies, and the role of ultrafine particles, which may exert even stronger neurological effects than PM2.5. Understanding the behavioral consequences of air pollution may reveal previously unrecognized societal benefits of improved air quality.

Funding

The author(s) received no specific funding for this work. [If applicable, replace with: This work was supported by <funder> under grant <number> to <initials>. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.]

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Data Availability

This is a review article; no new data were generated. All data discussed are available within the cited published studies and their respective repositories.

References

1. Berman JD, Burkhardt J, Bayham J, Carter E, Wilson A. Acute air pollution exposure and the risk of violent behavior in the United States. Epidemiology. 2019;30(6):799–806. doi:10.1097/EDE.0000000000001085
2. Burkhardt J, Bayham J, Wilson A, Carter E, Berman JD, O'Dell K, et al. The effect of pollution on crime: evidence from data on particulate matter and ozone. J Environ Econ Manage. 2019;98:102267. doi:10.1016/j.jeem.2019.102267
3. Kuo PF, Putra IGB. Analyzing the relationship between air pollution and various types of crime. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(8):e0255653. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0255653
4. Burkhardt J, Bayham J, Wilson A, Berman JD, O'Dell K, Ford B, et al. The relationship between monthly air pollution and violent crime across the United States. J Environ Econ Policy. 2020;9(2):188–205. doi:10.1080/21606544.2019.1630014
5. Park J, Oh J, et al. Association between fine particulate matter (PM2.5) and violence cases in South Korea: a nationwide time-stratified case-crossover study. PLoS ONE. 2024;19(12):e0315914. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0315914

6. Rau AT, Harding AB, Ryan A, Ramirez MR, Renner LM, Berman JD. Ambient air pollution and the risk of violence in primary and secondary school settings: a cross-sectional study. Inj Epidemiol. 2024;11(1):24. doi:10.1186/s40621-024-00512-6

7. Lanphear BP, Hornung R, Khoury J, Yolton K, Baghurst P, Bellinger DC, et al. Low-level environmental lead exposure and children's intellectual function: an international pooled analysis. Environ Health Perspect. 2005;113(7):894–899. doi:10.1289/ehp.7688

8. Nevin R. How lead exposure relates to temporal changes in IQ, violent crime, and unwed pregnancy. Environ Res. 2000;83(1):1–22.

9. Nevin R. Understanding international crime trends: the legacy of preschool lead exposure. Environ Res. 2007;104(3):315–336.

10. Reyes JW. Environmental policy as social policy? The impact of childhood lead exposure on crime. B.E. J Econ Anal Policy. 2007;7(1):Article 51. doi:10.2202/1935-1682.1796